

COVID-19 Vaccination Response in Participants Across Clinical Trials Investigating Efgartigimod in Autoimmune Diseases

Tuan Vu,¹ Francesco Saccà,² James F. Howard Jr,³ John W. Sleasman,⁴ Fien Gistelincx,⁵ Paul Duncombe,⁵ Benjamin Van Hoorick,⁵ Sophie Steeland,⁵ Renato Mantegazza,⁶ Jan L. De Bleecker,⁷ Antoine Azar,⁸ Kevin Winthrop⁹

¹Department of Neurology, University of South Florida Morsani College of Medicine, Tampa, Florida, US; ²GENESIS Department, Federico II University of Naples, Naples, Italy; ³Department of Neurology, The University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, US; ⁴Duke University School of Medicine, Division of Allergy, Immunology, and Pulmonary Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, US; ⁵argenx, Ghent, Belgium; ⁶Emeritus Department of Neuroimmunology and Neuromuscular Diseases, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milan, Italy; ⁷Department of Neurology, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium; ⁸Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Division of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Baltimore, Maryland, US; ⁹Oregon Health and Science University, Division of Infectious Disease, Portland, Oregon, US

INTRODUCTION

- Efgartigimod is an IgG1 antibody Fc fragment that has been engineered for increased affinity to FcRn compared to endogenous IgG, and is uniquely composed of the only part of the IgG antibody that normally binds FcRn¹
- Efgartigimod selectively reduces IgG by blocking FcRn-mediated IgG recycling without impacting antibody production or other parts of the immune system, and does not decrease albumin¹⁻³
- Efgartigimod PH20 SC is a coformulation of efgartigimod and recombinant human hyaluronidase PH20 (rHuPH20), which allows for rapid SC administration of larger volumes^{4,5}

Efgartigimod Mechanism of Action: Blocking FcRn

- Efgartigimod and IgG are internalized^{1,6}
- Efgartigimod competes with endogenous IgG for binding to FcRn¹
- Unbound IgG enters the lysosomal degradation pathway^{1,6}
- Efgartigimod and fewer IgGs are recycled back into the bloodstream¹



Some immunosuppressive therapies used in the treatment of autoimmune diseases impair immune response to vaccines⁷

- Some immunosuppressive and B-cell-depleting therapies can reduce immunogenicity of vaccines, including vaccines to SARS-CoV-2^{8,9}
- In previous studies, efgartigimod did not impair generation of IgG responses to antigenic challenges, and levels of both naturally and vaccine-induced protective antibody titers closely followed total IgG reduction kinetics^{3,10,11}

RESULTS

Table 1. Baseline Demographics of Participants in gMG, CIDP, ITP, and PV Studies Receiving COVID-19 Vaccines^a

Characteristic	gMG (n=71)	CIDP (n=29)	ITP (n=17)	PV (n=31)
Age, y, mean (SD)	49.0 (14.1)	53.3 (13.2)	53.3 (17.1)	50.1 (11.4)
Age category, n (%)				
18-64 y	58 (81.7)	24 (82.8)	13 (76.5)	29 (93.5)
65-74 y	11 (15.5)	4 (13.8)	3 (17.6)	1 (3.2)
≥75 y	2 (2.8)	1 (3.4)	1 (5.9)	1 (3.2)
Sex at birth, n (%)				
Female	47 (66.2)	10 (34.5)	6 (35.3)	15 (48.4)
BMI (kg/m)				
Mean (SD)	28.6 (7.9) ^b	27.5 (4.6)	27.3 (6.0)	27.5 (4.5)
Range (min, max)	18.0, 64.4	18.6, 36.6	17.5, 41.8	19.5, 41.6
Race, n (%)				
Asian	9 (12.7)	4 (13.8)	2 (11.8)	4 (12.9)
Black or African American	-	-	-	1 (3.2)
White	60 (84.5)	21 (72.4)	15 (88.2)	26 (83.9)
Multiple	2 (2.8)	-	-	-
Not reported	-	4 (13.8)	-	-

^aParticipant data are included only for those who had a prevaccination titer sample and ≥1 postvaccination titer sample available.
^bBMI data were unavailable for 2 individuals.

Table 2. First Documented COVID-19 Vaccination Received by Participants in gMG, CIDP, ITP, and PV Studies^{a,b}

	gMG ^c	CIDP ^c	ITP		PV	
	EFG IV/SC (n=45)	EFG SC (n=13)	EFG IV (n=8)	PBO (n=8)	EFG SC (n=16)	PBO (n=8)
First COVID-19 Vaccine, ^d n (%)						
Pfizer-BioNTech	33 (73.3)	5 (38.5)	5 (62.5)	3 (37.5)	9 (56.3)	5 (62.5)
Unknown	5 (11.1)	2 (15.4)	1 (12.5)	1 (12.5)	4 (25.0)	-
Spikevax (Moderna)	4 (8.9)	2 (15.4)	-	1 (12.5)	2 (12.5)	1 (12.5)
Janssen	1 (2.2)	2 (15.4)	-	1 (12.5)	1 (6.3)	-
Oxford–AstraZeneca	-	1 (7.7)	1 (12.5)	-	-	1 (12.5)
Sputnik V	2 (4.4)	-	-	-	-	1 (12.5)
Sinovac	-	-	-	2 (25.0)	-	-
Sinopharm	-	1 (7.7)	1 (12.5)	-	-	-

^aFor COVID-19 vaccinations consisting of multiple injections (ie, injections within 45 days of each other), only blood samples before the first injection and after the last injection were selected. ^bParticipants who had prevaccination titer sample and ≥1 postvaccination titer sample available. ^cOne participant in the gMG group and 4 participants in the CIDP group treated with placebo received their first COVID-19 vaccination during the study but were excluded from this analysis due to low n values in these groups. ^dParticipants who received a COVID-19 vaccination before entering the study are excluded from Table 2.

METHODS

OBJECTIVE

Assess the effects of efgartigimod IV and efgartigimod PH20 SC treatment on humoral immune responses to COVID-19 vaccination in participants with gMG, CIDP, ITP, and PV across multiple clinical trials^a

SARS-CoV-2-IgG-RBD, -S, -N, and -NEUT Titers Measured during gMG, CIDP, ITP, and PV studies at^{b,c}:

PreVacc:	≥4 wk post Vacc:	Max EFG PD ^d :	
Prevaccination (last available value prior to vaccination)	≥4 wk after vaccination (at maximum vaccine effect)	Cyclic dosing: ~1 wk after 4th efgartigimod administration ^e (at maximum efgartigimod PD effect)	Once-weekly/Q2W dosing: ≥4 wk after 1st efgartigimod administration (at maximum efgartigimod PD effect)

	gMG	CIDP	ITP	PV
Phase	3	2	3	3
Dosing	ADAPT: 3, OLE: 3, OLE: 3, OLE: 3	ADHERE: 2	ADVANCE: 3	ADDRESS: 3, OLE: 3
Participants	EFG IV: n=84 PBO: n=83	EFG PH20 SC 1000 mg Once-weekly injections	EFG IV 10 mg/kg 4 once-weekly injections, then once-weekly or Q2W infusions	EFG PH20 SC 1000 mg (2000 mg on days 1 and 8) Once-weekly injections
Duration	26 weeks	≤12 weeks (Stage A) ≤48 weeks (Stage B)	24 weeks	30 weeks

^aNot all participants enrolled in these studies received vaccines targeting COVID-19. ^bSARS-CoV-2-IgG-RBD, -S, and -N antibodies were assessed using an ELISA assay. ^cFor COVID-19 vaccinations consisting of multiple injections (ie, injections within 45 days of each other), only blood samples before the first injection and after the last injection were selected. ^dOne sample was collected if postvaccination time points (≥4 wk post Vacc at maximum vaccine effect and Max EFG PD) coincided with each other. ^eSamples were collected from the first cycle that occurred after the vaccination.

SUMMARY



Participants receiving efgartigimod IV or efgartigimod PH20 SC across multiple indications and dosing schedules mounted antigen-specific IgG responses to COVID-19 immunization, even when total IgG levels were maximally reduced




Effective humoral immune response to COVID-19 vaccination was not precluded by efgartigimod IV or efgartigimod PH20 SC treatment, regardless of indication or dosing regimen; similar responses were seen with placebo




Additional data on several different vaccines are being retrospectively analyzed from efgartigimod studies across multiple indications

Figure 1. Individual SARS-CoV-2-IgG-RBD Titer Values Box Plots After First Documented Vaccination Across Indications^a



^aFor each indication and dosing regimen, only time points with ≥5 samples are presented. ^bPostvaccination time points (≥4 weeks post Vacc and Max EFG PD) coincided with each other, the sample is presented at Max EFG PD time point.

Figure 2. GMT Actual SARS-CoV-2-IgG-RBD Values and Mean Actual Total IgG Titer Values After First Documented Vaccination Across Indications^a



^aFor each indication and dosing regimen, only time points with ≥5 samples are presented. ^bPostvaccination time points (≥4 wk post Vacc and Max EFG PD) coincided with each other, the sample is presented at Max EFG PD time point.

SARS-CoV-2-IgG-S, SARS-CoV-2-IgG-N, and SARS-CoV-2-IgG-NEUT Titer Values Across

- SARS-CoV-2-IgG-S titer values showed a similar trend in response to vaccination as SARS-CoV-2-IgG-RBD titer values
- There was no effect of vaccination on SARS-CoV-2-N titer values (positive SARS-CoV-2-N titer values indicate a previous/resolving COVID-19 infection)¹²
- SARS-CoV-2-IgG-NEUT titer values increased in response to vaccination, regardless of indication or dosing regimen

ABBREVIATIONS
BAU, binding antibody units; CIDP, chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; EFG, efgartigimod; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; Fc, fragment crystallizable region; FcRn, neonatal Fc receptor; gMG, generalized myasthenia gravis; GMT, geometric mean titer; Ig, immunoglobulin; ITP, immune thrombocytopenia; IV, intravenous; LLOQ, lower limit of quantification; MG, myasthenia gravis; -N, nucleocapsid protein; -NEUT, neutralizing antibodies; OLE, open-label extension; PBO, placebo; PD, pharmacodynamic; PreVacc, prevaccination; PV, pemphigus vulgaris; QW, once a week; Q2W, every other week; -RBD, receptor-binding domain of S protein; rHuPH20, recombinant human hyaluronidase PH20; -S, spike protein; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SARS-CoV-2-IgG, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 specific IgG; SC, subcutaneous.

REFERENCES
1. Ulrichs P, et al. *J Clin Invest*. 2018;128(4):372-386. 2. Howard JF Jr, et al. [published correction appears in *Lancet Neurol*. 2021;20(8):e5]. *Lancet Neurol*. 2021;20(7):526-536. 3. Gupta J, et al. *Autoimmunity*. 2022;55(8):620-631. 4. Study ARGX-113-2001 (ADAPT-SC) Clinical Trial Protocol v2.0, 02 Jul 2021. 5. Locke KW, et al. [published correction appears in *Drug Deliv*. 2019;26(1):1300]. *Drug Deliv*. 2019;26(1):98-106. 6. Sesaman A, et al. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2010;671(15):2533-2550. 7. Patel SY, et al. *Front Immunol*. 2019;10:33. 8. Deepak P, et al. *Ann Intern Med*. 2021;174(11):1572-1585. 9. Zecca E, et al. *Viruses*. 2022;14(8):1766. 10. Binazon O, et al. *J Immunotoxicol*. 2025;22(1):2459934. 11. Wang P, et al. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2025;21(1):2470542. 12. Interim Guidelines for COVID-19 Antibody Testing. CDC. Updated December 16, 2022. Accessed June 20, 2024. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-nCoV/hcp-tesing/antibody-tests-guidelines.html>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLOSURES
The authors gratefully acknowledge the ADAPT/ADAPT+, ADAPT-SC/ADAPT-SC+, ADHERE, ADVANCE, and ADDRESS/ADDRESS+ trial participants and investigators. **TV:** argenx, CSL Behring, Allergan/AbbVie, Alexion AstraZeneca Rare Disease, argenx, Cartesian Therapeutics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, MGFA, Muscular Dystrophy Association, NIH, POCRI, UCB, AcademicCMC, Biologix, ChockRare CMC, CoriEntas, CureBio, Hansa Biopharma, Argenx, Biobay, Novartis, PervView CME, Physicians Education Resource (PER) CME, Platform CME, Regeneron, Sanofi, TG Therapeutics, and Tolerancia AB. **JWS:** NIH, Collective, Sunionto Pharma of America, the Jeffrey Modell Foundation, and argenx. **FM:** Alexion, argenx, Ra, BioMann, Catalyt, UCB, Teva, Merck, Roche, and Biogen. **JLDB:** argenx, Alexion, CSL, UCB, Alnylam, Janssen, and Sanofi Genzyme. **AA:** X4, Grifols, Octapharma, Takeda, CSL, and argenx. **KW:** Bristol Myers Squibb, Pfizer, AbbVie, UCB, Eli Lilly, Galapagos, GSK, Roche, Gilead, Regeneron, Sanofi, AstraZeneca, and Novartis. The ADAPT/ADAPT+, ADAPT-SC/ADAPT-SC+, ADHERE, ADVANCE, and ADDRESS/ADDRESS+ trials were funded by argenx. Medical writing and editorial support for this presentation was provided by Precision AQ and funded by argenx.